COMMUNICATIVE LANGUAGE TEACHING: PRINCIPLES AND EVOLUTION

A.Rzamuratova – 4th year student of
English language and literature department
S.Saparov – scientific adviser
Nukus State Pedagogical Institute
Nukus, Uzbekistan

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is a prevalent methodology in foreign language instruction that emphasizes the enhancement of learners' proficiency in utilizing language for authentic communication. Originating in the 1970s in Western Europe, Communicative Language Teaching signifies a transition from conventional, structure-oriented approaches, such as grammar-translation and audio-lingual methods, to a more functional and learner-centered framework. It underscores communication as both the method and objective of language acquisition, seeking to endow learners with communicative competence—the capacity to utilize language suitably across various social circumstances. [6] This article delineates CLT, investigates its fundamental principles, and analyzes the notion of communicative competence, referencing underlying ideas and practical ramifications for English as a Foreign Language (EFL) education.

As highlighted by Hymes, a normal child acquires not only grammatical knowledge but also the ability to discern "when to speak, when not, and as to what to talk about with whom, when, where, in what manner." This holistic view of language use forms the basis of communicative competence in CLT.

Canale and Swain (1980) further refined communicative competence into three components:

1. **Grammatical competence**: Knowledge of the language's phonological, lexical, and syntactic rules.

2. **Sociolinguistic competence**: Understanding of social conventions, such as politeness norms and context-appropriate language use.

3. **Strategic competence**: The ability to use communication strategies, such as paraphrasing or gestures, to compensate for linguistic limitations.

These components highlight that CLT does not dismiss grammar but integrates it as one aspect of a broader communicative framework. For example, while grammatical accuracy is important, CLT prioritizes the ability to convey meaning effectively, even if minor errors occur: "Accuracy is secondary to convey a message. Fluency may take on more importance than accuracy."

Historical Context and Evolution

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) marks a transformative shift in language education, emphasizing practical communication over structural mastery. Emerging in the mid-20th century, CLT responded to global demands for functional language skills, evolving through linguistic theories, educational reforms, and practical applications.

CLT arose in the 1960s and 1970s amid globalization, when increased international travel, trade, and communication necessitated practical language proficiency. Traditional methods like grammar-translation, which focused on reading and writing, and the audio-lingual approach, emphasizing repetition, failed to prepare learners for real-world interactions. This period saw a pedagogical shift toward learner-centered approaches, driven by the recognition that language is a tool for communication, not just an academic exercise.

A foundational influence on CLT was Dell Hymes's concept of communicative competence (1972). Challenging Noam Chomsky's focus on grammatical competence—knowledge of language rules—Hymes argued that effective communication required understanding social contexts and purposes. This broadened perspective redirected language teaching toward practical use, influencing CLT's core philosophy.

By the 1970s, CLT crystallized as a distinct methodology, initially termed the Functional Approach or Notional Approach. These labels reflected its focus on language functions and semantic concepts, later merging into the Functional-Notional Approach. This approach organized

teaching around communicative needs, such as expressing opinions or solving problems, rather than grammatical sequences.

Global Adoption and Adaptation

CLT's adoption varied by region. In English as a Foreign Language (EFL) settings, such as Asia or Latin America, its focus on communication faced challenges due to limited exposure to English outside classrooms. Teachers adapted by simulating real-life scenarios through role-plays or pair work. In English as a Second Language (ESL) contexts, like the UK or Canada, CLT aligned naturally with immersion environments.

Educational reforms amplified CLT's spread. The late 20th century saw a move from exam-centric to quality-oriented education, particularly in countries like China, where English became critical for global engagement. Policy shifts, such as the 2001 Chinese curriculum reform (Wang, 2020), embraced CLT to foster communicative skills, reflecting its adaptability.

Recent studies (e.g., Richards, 2022) suggest CLT remains effective when tailored to local needs, blending explicit grammar instruction with communicative practice. This hybrid approach addresses earlier gaps, reinforcing CLT's versatility.

REFERENCES

1. Aynazarovna A. B. Development of intercultural competence of students in learning English //International Journal of Pedagogics. – 2022. – T. 2. – №. 10. – C. 1-6.

2. Бисенова A. Effective techniques in teaching vocabulary //Преимущества и проблемы использования достижений отечественной и мировой науки и технологии в сфере иноязычного образования. – 2024. – T. 1. – №. 1. – C. 306-308.

3. Canale M., Swain M. Theoretical bases of communicative approaches // Applied Linguistics. – 1980. – Vol. 1, No. 1. – pp. 1–47.

4. Hymes D. On communicative competence // Sociolinguistics. – Penguin, 1972. – pp. 269–293.

5. Richards J. C. Revisiting communicative language teaching // ELT Journal. – 2022. – Vol. 76, No. 3. – pp. 345–353.

6. Wang Q. English curriculum reform in China // TESOL Quarterly. – 2020. – Vol. 54, No. 2. – pp. 421–435.

7. Azatovna N. N., Utebaevna T. A. THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH IN TEACHING ENGLISH TO MEDICAL STUDENTS: A REVIEW OF RECENT RECENT RESEARCH //Hamkor konferensiyalar. – 2024. – T. 1. – №. 7. – C. 357-359.